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#### Abstract

Let $w_{Q}(x)=\exp (-Q(x))$ be a weight function and $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ the system of polynomials orthonormal with respect to $w_{Q}^{2}$ on $\mathbf{R}$. We show that if $Q$ satisfies certain technical conditions, then $$
\left|w_{Q}(x) p_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant c_{1} q_{n}^{-1,2}, \quad \text { for } \quad|x| \leqslant c_{2} q_{n}, n=1,2,3, \ldots,
$$ where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are constants depending upon $Q$ alone and $q_{n} Q^{\prime}\left(q_{n}\right)=n, n=1,2, \ldots$. The weights considered include $\exp \left(-|x|^{x}\right)$ when $x \geqslant 4$. The proof involves the use of certain "infinite-finite range inequalities" to estimate the coefficients in a differential equation satisfied by $p_{n}$. These estimates, in turn, enables us to use a concavity argument. © 1990 Academic Press, Inc.


## 1. Introduction

One of the classical inequalities for the orthonormal Hermite polynomials $h_{n}$ (orthonormal on $\mathbf{R}$ with respect to the weight function $\exp \left(-x^{2}\right)$ ) is the following

Theorem 1.1 [24, Theorem 8.22.9]. Let $\varepsilon>0$. Then there exists a positive constant $c(\varepsilon)$ such that for all real $x$ with $|x| \leqslant(1-\varepsilon) \sqrt{2 n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\exp \left(-x^{2} / 2\right) h_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant c(\varepsilon) n^{-1 / 4} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In recent years, there has been considerable interest in obtaining generalizations and refinements of this theorem for Freud polynomials, i.e., polynomials orthonormal on $\mathbf{R}$ with respect to a weight function of the form $\exp (-2 Q(x))[22,23,13,14,3,4,1]$. A typical result is the following

[^0]Theorem 1.2 [4,13]. Let $m$ be an even positive integer and $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ the system of polynomials orthonormal on $\mathbf{R}$ with respect to $\exp \left(-x^{m}\right)$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\exp \left(-x^{m} / 2\right) p_{n}(x)\left(\left(2 \beta n^{1 / m}\right)^{2}-x^{2}\right)^{1: 4}\right| \leqslant c \\
& \quad \text { for } \quad|x| \leqslant 2 \beta n^{1: m}, n=1,2, \ldots,
\end{align*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant depending upon $m$ alone and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta:=\left\{\frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(m / 2)}{\Gamma(m+1 / 2)}\right\}^{1: m} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

All of the results known to the author in this direction concern the case when $Q$ is a polynomial. (See, however, the announcement in [12].) An essential ingredient of the proofs is detailed information about the asymptotic behavior of the recurrence coefficients for the orthogonal polynomials.

In this paper, we obtain an analogue of Theorem 1.2 for polynomiais orthonormal on $\mathbf{R}$ with respect to a weight function of the form $\exp (-2 Q(x))$ where $Q$ is a "general" function. The main idea is essentially to use a differential equation satisfied by these polynomials and a concavity argument as in [4]. However, practically no information is known about the recurrence coefficients for the "general" weight functions. We shall reily upon our results with Saff in [20] to obtain some relatively crude estimates on these coefficients and other quantities in the differential equation. These estimates will then help us to deduce an analogue of Theorem 1.2. Of course, our result is not as sharp as Theorem 1.2 but is sufficient in many applications [9-11, 18, 23].

After the first draft of this manuscript was submitted, we learned from Doron Lubinsky that he has, in fact, obtained the Plancherel-Rotach-type asymptotics for polynomials orthogonal on $\mathbf{R}$ with respect to a weight function belonging to a fairly general class of weights. His results imply our results as a special case, and are valid, in particular, for the weights $\exp \left(-|x|^{x}\right)$ when $\alpha>3$. To the best of our knowledge, our approach in general, and, in particular, the simplification of the differential equation as well as the estimation of the various quantities appearing in the equation remain as the novel features of this paper. The simplification, in fact, has been used in the study of Hermite interpolation based at the zeros of Freud polynomials [21]. Using the ideas of [21], it seems possible that our conditions on the weight function can be relaxed somewhat so as to include the weights $\exp \left(-|x|^{2}\right)$ when $\alpha>3$. In the light of Lubinsky's results, we choose not to do so in this paper.

In the next section, we state the precise conditions on the weight function and discuss the main results. These results will be proved in Section 3.

I thank Paul Nevai, for his kind encouragement in this work, including making [1,4] available to me as well as Doron Lubinsky for his several comments.

## 2. Main Results

We shall consider weight functions of the form $w_{o}^{2}$ where $w_{Q}(x):=\exp (-Q(x))$. Throughout this paper, we shall adopt the following convention. The symbols $c, c_{1}, \ldots$ will denote constants depending upon $Q$ alone. Their values may be different at different occurrences, even within the same formula. $A \sim B$ will mean $c_{1} A \leqslant B \leqslant c_{2} A$. The class of all polynomials of degree not exceeding $n$ will be denoted by $\Pi_{n}$.

We shall assume the following conditions on $w_{Q}$.
(W1) $Q$ is an even, convex function in $C^{4}(\mathbf{R})$ and $t Q^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$.
(W2) Let $q_{n}$ be the least positive solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n} Q^{\prime}\left(q_{n}\right)=n \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for every $c>0$ and $c_{1}<|t|<|x| \leqslant c q_{n}$,

$$
\left.\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{Q^{\prime}(t)}{t^{3}}\right| & \leqslant c_{2}\left|\frac{Q^{\prime}(x)}{x^{3}}\right| \tag{2.2a}
\end{align*} \right\rvert\, \leqslant c_{3} n q_{n}^{-4}, ~\left[\left.\frac{t^{3} Q^{(4)}(t)}{Q^{\prime}(t)} \right\rvert\, \leqslant c_{2} .\right.
$$

(W3) For the numbers $q_{n}$ defined in (2.1), $q_{2 n} \sim q_{n}$.
We do not claim that these conditions are independent. They are all satisfied when $Q(x)=|x|^{\alpha}, \alpha \geqslant 4$, which are some of the prototypical Freud weights. Another example is the weight $|x|^{\beta} \exp \left(-|x|^{x}\right), x \geqslant 4, \beta>0$. We note the following simple consequences of our conditions which will be needed later.

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(|x|) \leqslant Q(y) \quad \text { if } \quad|x| \leqslant y  \tag{2.3}\\
\left|\frac{x Q^{\prime \prime}(x)}{Q^{\prime}(x)}\right| \leqslant c \quad \text { if } \quad|x| \geqslant c_{1}  \tag{2.4}\\
\left|\frac{x^{2} Q^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)}{Q^{\prime}(x)}\right| \leqslant c \quad \text { if } \quad|x| \geqslant c_{1} . \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

A consequence of our results with Saff in $[19,20]$ is the following

Theorem 2.1. Let $0<p, r<\infty$. There exists a sequence $\left\{a_{n}(Q)\right\}$ and positive constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ depending upon $p, r, Q$ alone such that for enert $n=1,2, \ldots$ and $P \in \Pi_{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|w_{Q}^{\prime}(x) P(x)\right|^{r} \\
& \quad \leqslant\left. c_{1} \exp \left(-c_{2} n\right)\right|_{\mid t \leqslant a_{n}(Q)}\left|w_{Q}(t) P(t)\right|^{r} d t, \quad|x| \geqslant a_{n}(Q),  \tag{2.6}\\
& \left\{\int_{\mid, x i \geqslant a_{n}(Q)}\left|w_{Q}(x) P(x)\right|^{p} d x\right\}^{r ; p} \\
& \quad \leqslant c_{1} \exp \left(-c_{2} n\right) \int_{|t| \leqslant a_{n}(Q)}\left|w_{Q}(t) P(t)\right|^{r} d t, \quad|x| \geqslant a_{n}(Q) . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

We caution the reader that the notation here is different from that in [19, 20]. We can, in fact, take [19]

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}(Q) \sim q_{n} \tag{2.8a}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for any $p, r>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}(Q) \sim a_{p n}(r Q) \tag{2.8b}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall denote $a_{n}(Q / 2)$ by $a_{n}$.
Let $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ denote the system of polynomials orthonormal on with respect to $w_{Q}^{2}$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{n}(x)=\gamma_{n} x^{n}+\cdots=\gamma_{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(x-x_{k, n}\right)  \tag{2.9a}\\
\gamma_{n}>0, \quad x_{n, n}<x_{n-1, n}<\cdots<x_{i, n}  \tag{2.9b}\\
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{n}(t) p_{m}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t=\delta_{n m} . \tag{2.90}
\end{gather*}
$$

Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 2.2. If $Q$ satisfies the conditions (W1), (W2), (W3), then there exist constants $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{Q}(x) p_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant c_{1} a_{n}^{-1: 2} \quad \text { if } \quad|x| \leqslant c_{2} a_{n} \tag{2,10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note the following consequences of our theorem which might be of some interest in applications.

Corollary 2.3. There exists a constant $c$ with the property that for any $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|x| \geqslant c \varepsilon a_{n}} p_{n}^{2}(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x) d x>1-\varepsilon, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2.4. (cf. [3.14]). For $n=1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} a_{n}^{-1 / 2} \leqslant\left|w_{Q}\left(x_{k, n}\right) p_{n-1}\left(x_{k, n}\right)\right| \leqslant c_{2} a_{n}^{-1 / 2} \quad \text { if } \quad\left|x_{k, n}\right| \leqslant c_{3} a_{n} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It seems probable that a more precise version of Theorem 2.2, similar to Theorem 1.2, is true. The techniques known to the author for proving such inequalities, however, require that the sequence $\left\{a_{n}^{-1} \gamma_{n-1} / \gamma_{n}\right\}$ converges at a "good" rate as $n \rightarrow \infty$. While the convergence itself is known for a large class of weight functions [15], the rate is known only in the case when $Q$ is a polynomial of even degree and positive leading coefficient $[2,16,17]$.

## 3. Proofs

The first step in our proof of Theorem 2.2 is to obtain a differential equation satisfied by $p_{n}$. This equation does not really require the conditions ( $W 1$ ), ( $W 2$ ), and ( $W 3$ ) on $Q$. In each of the results stated below while obtaining this equation, we assume only that $w_{Q}$ is a weight function and $Q$ has sufficiently many continuous derivatives to make the various quantities involved well defined.

We begin by recalling certain standard properties of orthogonal polynomials.

Proposition 3.1 [5]. (a)

$$
\begin{equation*}
x p_{n-1}(x)=\rho_{n} p_{n}(x)+\beta_{n} p_{n-1}(x)+\rho_{n-1} p_{n-2}(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, n=2,3, \ldots, \tag{3.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}:=\gamma_{n-1} / \gamma_{n}, \quad \beta_{n} \in \mathbf{R} \tag{3.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) For every polynomial $P \in \Pi_{n-1}, x \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x)=\int P(t) K_{n}(x, t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \tag{3.2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}(x, t):=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_{k}(x) p_{k}(t)=\rho_{n} \frac{p_{n}(x) p_{n-1}(t)-p_{n}(t) p_{n-1}(x)}{x-t} \tag{3.2b}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\lambda}_{n}(x):=\left\{K_{n}(x, x)\right\}^{-1} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\lambda}_{n}^{-1}(x)=\rho_{n}\left\{p_{n}^{\prime}(x) p_{n-1}(x)-p_{n}(x) p_{n-1}^{\prime}(x)\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{i}_{k n}^{-1}:=\lambda_{n}^{-1}\left(x_{k, n}\right)=\rho_{n} p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k . n}\right) p_{n-1}\left(x_{k, n}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) For every $P \in \Pi_{2 n-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int P(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \hat{\lambda}_{k n} P\left(x_{k, n}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(e)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int p_{n}^{\prime 2}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \geqslant n^{2} / \rho_{n}^{2}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we obtain an expression for $p_{n}^{\prime}$ in terms of $p_{n}$ and $p_{n-1}(c f .[4])$.

Theorem 3.2. For $n=1,2, \ldots, x \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{\prime}(x)=A_{n}(x) p_{n-1}(x)-B_{n}(x) p_{n}(x) \tag{3.8a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{Q}(x, t):=\frac{Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}(x)}{t-x}, \tag{3.8b}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{n}(x):=2 \rho_{n} \int p_{n}^{2}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) \bar{Q}(x, t) d t  \tag{3.8c}\\
& B_{n}(x):=2 \rho_{n} \int p_{n}(t) p_{n-1}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) \bar{Q}(x, t) d t \tag{3.8~d}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.2. In view of Proposition 3.1(b),

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{\prime}(x)=\int p_{n}^{\prime}(t) K_{n}(x, t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we integrate by parts and observe that $p_{n}$ is orthogonal to ( $\left.\partial / \bar{c} t\right) K_{n}(x, t)$, which is a polynomial of degree at most $n-2$ in $t$, then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{\prime}(x)=2 \int p_{n}(t) K_{n}(x, t) Q^{\prime}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p_{n}$ is orthogonal to $K_{n}(x, t)$, we see that for any constant $K$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{\prime}(x)=2 \int p_{n}(t) K_{n}(x, t)\left(Q^{\prime}(t)-K\right) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $K=Q^{\prime}(x)$ and use (3.2b) to arrive at (3.8).
We note one corollary of (3.11) which will be used in the sequel.

Corollary 3.3. For any $K \in \mathbf{R}$ and $n=1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int p_{n}^{2}(t)\left(Q^{\prime}(t)-K\right)^{2} w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \geqslant \frac{n^{2}}{4 \rho_{n}^{2}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Equation (3.11) shows that $p_{n}^{\prime}(x) / 2$ is the $n$th partial sum of the orthonormal expansion of the function $p_{n}(x)\left(Q^{\prime}(t)-K\right)$. We get (3.12) by using Bessel's inequality and (3.7).

Next, we use some ideas originating from Shohat and also from Nevai, and perform some elementary computations based on (3.1a) and (3.8a) to obtain, as in $[4,1]$,

Theorem 3.4. For $n=2,3, \ldots$, and $x \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+M_{n}(x) p_{n}^{\prime}(x)+N_{n}(x) p_{n}(x)=0 \tag{3.13a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{n}(x):= & B_{n}(x)+B_{n-1}(x)-\frac{x-\beta_{n}}{\rho_{n-1}} A_{n-1}(x)-\frac{A_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{A_{n}(x)}  \tag{3.13b}\\
N_{n}(x):= & \frac{A_{n}(x) A_{n-1}(x) \rho_{n}}{\rho_{n-1}}-\frac{A_{n-1}(x) B_{n}(x)\left(x-\beta_{n}\right)}{\rho_{n-1}} \\
& +B_{n}(x) B_{n-1}(x)+B_{n}^{\prime}(x)-\frac{A_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{A_{n}(x)} B_{n}(x) \tag{3.13c}
\end{align*}
$$

We pause to make the following observation which will simplify the expressions for $M_{n}$ and $N_{n}$.

Proposition 3.5. For $n=2,3, \ldots, x \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}(x)+B_{n-1}(x)-\frac{x-\beta_{n}}{\rho_{n-1}} A_{n-1}(x)=-2 Q^{\prime}(x) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using (3.8) and (3.1a), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{n}(x) & +B_{n-1}(x) \\
& =2 \int p_{n-1}(t)\left\{\rho_{n} p_{n}(t)+\rho_{n-1} p_{n-2}(t)\right\} \bar{Q}(x, t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \\
& =2 \int p_{n-1}^{2}(t)\left(t-\beta_{n}\right) \bar{Q}(x, t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \\
& =2 \int p_{n-1}^{2}(t)\left\{Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}(x)\right\} w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t+\frac{x-\beta_{n}}{\rho_{n-1}} A_{n-1}(x) \\
& =-2 Q^{\prime}(x)+\frac{x-\beta_{n}}{\rho_{n-1}} A_{n-1}(x)+2 \int p_{n-1}^{2}(t) Q^{\prime}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Using integration by parts, we see that

$$
2 \int p_{n-1}^{2}(t) Q^{\prime}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t=\int p_{n-1}(t) p_{n-1}^{\prime}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t=0
$$

Thus, (3.15) gives (3.14).
Following $[4,1]$, we next use a standard technique in the theory of differential equations to convert Eq. (3.13a) into an equation without the "middle term." We note first that since $Q$ is convex, $A_{n}(x)>0$ for every $x \in \mathbf{R}$.

Theorem 3.6. For $n=2,3, \ldots, x \in \mathbf{R}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}(x):=p_{n}(x) w_{Q}^{2}(x) A_{n}^{-1: 2}(x) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\Phi_{n}(x) z_{n}(x)=0 \tag{3.17a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n}:=N_{n}-\frac{1}{4} M_{n}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} M_{n}^{\prime} \tag{3.17b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 3.6 is an elementary computation and hence is
omitted. The only remark we wish to make is that there is no "correction term" in the expression for $z_{n}$, as a result of (3.14).

Next, we proceed to show that, in fact, $\Phi_{n}(x) \sim\left(n / a_{n}\right)^{2}$ if $|x| \leqslant c a_{n}$. This is the part where we require all the assumptions (W1), (W2), and (W3) on the weight function. These are therefore assumed in the sequel.

First, we obtain some estimates on $\rho_{n}$ and $A_{n}$. We do not claim that the estimates on $\rho_{n}$ are new [6-8], but since they are not difficult, we include the proof.

Proposition 3.7. Let $Q$ satisfy the conditions (W1), (W2), and (W3) defined in the beginning of Section 2. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} a_{n} \leqslant \rho_{n} \leqslant c_{2} a_{n} . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $L>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}(L) \frac{n}{a_{n}} \leqslant A_{n}(x) \leqslant c_{2}(L) \frac{n}{a_{n}}, \quad \text { if } \quad|x| \leqslant L a_{n} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

A critical aspect of the proof is to prove certain "infinite-finite range inequalities" for the integrals which arise in connection with $\rho_{n}$ and $A_{n}(x)$.

Lemma 3.8. Let $Q$ satisfy the conditions (W1), (W2), and (W3) defined in the beginning of Section 2.
(a)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|t| \geqslant y} Q^{\prime 2}(t) w_{Q}(t) d t \leqslant c Q^{\prime}(y) w_{Q}(y) \quad \text { if } y>c_{1} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|t| \geqslant a_{n}} p_{n}^{2}(t) Q^{\prime 2}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \leqslant c_{1} \exp \left(-c_{2} n\right) . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) If $L>1,|x| \leqslant L a_{n}$, and $\varepsilon>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(2 \rho_{n}\right)^{-1} A_{n}(x)-\int_{|t| \leqslant(L+\varepsilon) a_{n}} p_{n}^{2}(t) \bar{Q}(x, t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t\right| \leqslant \frac{c_{1}}{\varepsilon} \exp \left(-c_{2} n\right), \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ may depend upon $L$.
Proof of Lemma 3.8. (a) Using (2.4) and (W1), we observe that $Q^{\prime \prime}(t) / Q^{\prime 2}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, if $|t|>c$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{Q}^{\prime \prime}(t)=\left\{Q^{\prime 2}(t)-Q^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\} w_{Q}(t) \sim Q^{\prime 2}(t) w_{Q}(t) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimate (3.20) now follows upon integrating (3.23).
(b) Using (2.6) with $r=2$ and $Q / 2$ in place of $Q$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{Q}(t) p_{n}^{2}(t) & \leqslant c_{1} \exp \left(-c_{2} n\right) \int_{u^{\prime} \leqslant a_{n}} w_{Q}(u) p_{n}^{2}(u) d u \\
& \leqslant c_{1} \exp \left(-c_{2} n\right) w_{Q}^{-1}\left(a_{n}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad \mid t!\geqslant a_{n} . \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, if $n$ is large enough, (3.20) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{: t \mid \geqslant a_{n}} p_{n}^{2}(t) Q^{\prime 2}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \\
& \leqslant\left. c_{1} \exp \left(-c_{2} n\right) w_{Q}^{-1}\left(a_{n}\right)\right|_{|t| \geqslant a_{n}} Q^{\prime 2}(t) w_{Q}(t) d t \\
& \leqslant c_{1} \exp \left(-c_{2} n\right) Q^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right) \\
& \leqslant c_{1} \exp \left(-c_{3} n\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) When $|x| \leqslant L a_{n}$ and $|t| \geqslant(L+\varepsilon) a_{n}$ then $|t-x| \geqslant \varepsilon a_{n}$, while

$$
\left|Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqslant c\left|Q^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant c Q^{\prime 2}(t)
$$

Hence, (3.21) yields that

$$
\int_{|x| \geqslant\left(L+\varepsilon \mid a_{n}\right.} p_{n}^{2}(t) \bar{Q}(x, t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \leqslant \frac{c}{\varepsilon a_{n}} \exp \left(-c_{2} n\right) \leqslant \frac{c}{\varepsilon} \exp \left(-c_{2} n\right)
$$

The estimate (3.22) now follows from (3.8c).
Proof of Proposition 3.7. First, we observe that an application of the Schwarz inequality gives, for every $A>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|t| \leqslant A}\left|t p_{n}(t) p_{n-1}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t)\right| d t \leqslant A \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Theorem 2.1, (2.8), and (3.25) with $A=c a_{n}$ for an appropriate choice of $c$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|t| \geqslant c a_{n}}\left|t p_{n}(t) p_{n-1}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t)\right| d t \leqslant c_{1} \exp \left(-c_{2} n\right) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (3.25), (3.26), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{n} & \leqslant \int\left|t p_{n}(t) p_{n-1}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t)\right| d t \\
& =\left(\int_{\mathrm{i} t \mid \leqslant c a_{n}}+\int_{|t| \geqslant c a_{n}}\right)\left|t p_{n}(t) p_{n-1}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t)\right| d t \\
& \leqslant c a_{n}+c_{1} \exp \left(-c_{2} n\right) \leqslant c a_{n} \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, it $L>1$. In view of the mean value theorem, (2.4), and (2.2), when $|x| \leqslant L a_{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{|t| \leqslant 2 L a_{n}} p_{n}^{2}(t) \bar{Q}(x, t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \\
& \quad \leqslant c \frac{n}{a_{n}^{2}} \int_{|t| \leqslant 2 L a_{n}} p_{n}^{2}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \leqslant c \frac{n}{a_{n}^{2}} \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, (3.27) and (3.22) (with $\varepsilon=L$ ) imply that, for every $L>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant c(L) \frac{n}{a_{n}} \quad \text { if } \quad|x| \leqslant L a_{n} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (2.8), Theorem 2.1, Theorem 3.2, we see that for sufficiently large $L$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int p_{n}^{\prime 2}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \leqslant & 2 \int_{|t| \leqslant L a_{n}}\left|p_{n}^{\prime}(t) w_{Q}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
\leqslant & c\left\{\int_{|t| \leqslant L a_{n}} A_{n}^{2}(t) p_{n-1}^{2}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{|t| \leqslant L a_{n}} B_{n}^{2}(t) p_{n}^{2}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t\right\} \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, (3.29) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|t| \leqslant L a_{n}} A_{n}^{2}(t) p_{n-1}^{2}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \leqslant c\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}}\right)^{2} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Schwarz inequality in (3.8d), and the estimates (3.27), (3.28), it is readily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{n}(t)\right|^{2} \leqslant c\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}}\right)^{2}, \quad|t| \leqslant L a_{n}, \quad \text { for every } \quad L>1 \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting from (3.32) and (3.31) into (3.30), we get

$$
\int p_{n}^{\prime 2}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \leqslant c\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}}\right)^{2}
$$

In view of (3.7), this yields $\rho_{n} \geqslant c a_{n}$.
Finally, we prove the first inequality in (3.19). Let $L>1$. If $|x| \leqslant L a_{n}$, then it is not difficult to deduce, using Lemma 3.8(b) and (2.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{i t l \geqslant 2 L a_{n}} p_{n}^{2}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t)\left\{Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}(x)\right\}^{2} d t \leqslant c_{1} \exp \left(-c_{2} n\right) \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, (3.18) and (3.12) yield that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mid t^{\prime} \leqslant 2 L a_{n}} p_{n}^{2}(t) w_{Q}^{2}(t)\left\{Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}(x)\right\}^{2} d t \geqslant c \frac{n^{2}}{a_{n}^{2}} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, if $|t| \leqslant 2 L a_{n}$, then

$$
\left|(t-x)\left(Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}(x)\right)\right| \leqslant c a_{n} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right) \leqslant c n^{2}
$$

So, since $Q$ is convex, (3.34) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int \frac{t^{\prime} \leqslant 2 L a_{n}}{} p_{n}^{2}(t) \bar{Q}(x, t) w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \\
& \geqslant \frac{c}{n} \int_{\mid t, \leqslant 2 L a_{n}} p_{n}^{2}(t) u_{Q}^{2}(t)\left\{Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}(x)\right\}^{2} d t \\
& \geqslant c \frac{n}{a_{n}^{2}} \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

The first inequality in (3.19) now follows from (3.22) and (3.18).
We also need estimates on $A_{n}^{\prime}, B_{n}^{\prime}, A_{n}^{\prime \prime}$. These are obtained exactly in the same way as the upper estimates on $A_{n}$, using the mean value theorem. (2.2), (2.4), (2.5), and Lemma 3.8. We omit the proofs, but note the result below.

Proposition 3.9. Let $Q$ satisfy the conditions (W1), (W2), and (W3); defined in the beginning of Section 2. Let $L>1$. Then, for $|x| \leqslant L a_{n}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|A_{n}^{(r)}(x)\right| \leqslant c(L) n a_{n}^{-r-1}, & r=0,1,2 . \\
\left|B_{n}^{(r)}(x)\right| \leqslant c(L) n a_{n}^{-r-1}, & r=0,1.2 . \tag{3.37}
\end{array}
$$

We would like to observe that in proving Propositions 3.7 and 3.9 , we did not really need the fact that $Q$ is even. In order to estimate $\Phi_{n}$, we will, however, need a more refined estimate on $B_{n}$. At this time, we are able to do so only when $Q$ is even.

Proposition 3.10. Let $Q$ satisfy the conditions (W1), (W2), and (W3) defined in the beginning of Section 2. For any $L>1$ and $|x| \leqslant L a_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant c(L) \frac{n}{a_{n}} \frac{|x|}{a_{n}} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $Q$ is even, $p_{n}(t) p_{n-\mathrm{i}}(t)$ is an odd function of $t$. Conse-
quently, (3.8d) shows that $B_{n}(0)=0$. Estimate (3.38) now follows from the mean value theorem and (3.37).

We are now in a position to estimate $\Phi_{n}$.
Theorem 3.11. Let $Q$ satisfy the conditions (W1), (W2), and (W3) defined in the beginning of Section 2. There exists a positive constant $\alpha$, depending upon $Q$ alone, such that for $|x| \leqslant \alpha a_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n}(x) \sim\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $Q$ is even, the parameter $\beta_{n}$ in (3.1a) is zero. Consequently, (3.13c) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{n}(x):= & \frac{A_{n}(x) A_{n-1}(x) \rho_{n}}{\rho_{n-1}}-\frac{A_{n-1}(x) B_{n}(x) x}{\rho_{n-1}} \\
& +B_{n}(x) B_{n-1}(x)+B_{n}^{\prime}(x)-\frac{A_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{A_{n}(x)} B_{n}(x) . \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $|x| \leqslant \alpha a_{n}$, where $0<\alpha<1$ will be chosen later. In view of Propositions 3.7, 3.9,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant c\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}}\right)^{2} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Propositions 3.7, 3.9, 3.10 also yield that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{A_{n} A_{n-1} a_{n} \rho_{n}}{\rho_{n-1}} \geqslant m_{1}\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}}\right)^{2}  \tag{3.42a}\\
\left|N_{n}-\frac{A_{n-1} A_{n} \rho_{n}}{\rho_{n-1}}\right| \leqslant m_{2} \alpha^{2}\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}}\right)^{2}, \tag{3.42b}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are constants depending only on $Q$. Since $\alpha \leqslant 1$, they do not depend upon $\alpha$, as it might appear. Next, Propositions 3.5, 3.9, and estimates (2.2) yield that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}^{2}(x)=\left(2 Q^{\prime}(x)+\frac{A_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{A_{n}(x)}\right)^{2} \leqslant m_{3} x^{2}\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}}\right)^{2} \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Propositions 3.5, 3.9, and estimates (2.2) also show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|M_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqslant \frac{c}{n}\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}}\right)^{2} \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting from (3.44), (3.43), and (3.41) into (3.17), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant c\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting from (3.44), (3.43), and (3.42) into (3.17), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n}(x) \geqslant\left(m_{1}-m_{2} x^{2}-\frac{m_{3}}{4} x^{2}\right)\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}}\right)^{2}-\frac{c}{n}\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
x:=\sqrt{m_{1} /\left(4 m_{2}+m_{3}\right)} \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

to get, for $|x| \leqslant \alpha a_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n}(x) \geqslant\left(\frac{3 m_{1}}{4}-\frac{c}{n}\right)\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}}\right)^{2} \geqslant c\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}}\right)^{2} \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remainder of our paper uses the same argument as in $[4,1]$. First, if we compare the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime \prime}+c\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}}\right)^{2} Y=0 \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

for judiciously chosen constants $c$ with (3.17), then Sturm's comparison theorem [24] with Theorem 3.11 yields the following

Corollary 3.12 (cf. [8]). Let $Q$ satisfy the conditions (W1), (W2), and (W3) defined in the beginning of Section 2. For the consecutive zeros $x_{k . n}, x_{k+1 . n}$ of $p_{n}$, which lie in the interval $\left[-\alpha a_{n}, \chi a_{n}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k . n}-x_{k+1 . n} \sim a_{n} i n . \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we need a technical estimate.
Lemma 3.13. Let Q satisfy the conditions (W1), (W2), and (W3) defined in the beginning of Section 2. With the numbers $\lambda_{k n}$ defined in (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{k n} p_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(x_{k, n}\right)=A_{n}\left(x_{k, n}\right) \rho_{n}^{-1} \leqslant c n a_{n}^{-2} . \tag{2,5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The equality in (3.51) follows from (3.5) and (3.8a); the inequality follows from Proposition 3.7.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $x$ be fixed such that $|x| \leqslant x a_{n} 2$, $x \in\left[x_{t+1, n}, x_{l, n}\right] \subseteq\left[-\alpha a_{n}, x a_{n}\right]$. Then Theorem 3.11 and (3.17) show that $|z|:=\left|z_{n}\right|$ is concave on $\left[x_{l+1, n}, x_{l, n}\right]$. Hence, the area of the triangle
bounded by the $x$-axis, the vertical line through the point $(x, 0)$, and the line joining the points $(x,|z(x)|),\left(x_{l, n}, 0\right)$ is not more than the area bounded by the $x$-axis, the vertical line through the point ( $x, 0$ ), and the graph of $|z|$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left|z(x)\left(x_{l, n}-x\right)\right| \leqslant \int_{x}^{x_{l, n}}|z(t)| d t \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now use the Schwarz inequality, Proposition 3.7, (3.6) (with $\left.P(t):=p_{n}^{2}(t)\left(t-x_{l, n}\right)^{-2}\right)$, and Lemma 3.13 to get

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left|z(x)\left(x_{l, n}-x\right)\right|\right\}^{2} \\
& \leqslant\left(\int_{x}^{x_{l, n}} \frac{(z(t))^{2}}{\left(t-x_{l, n}\right)^{2}} d t\right) \frac{\left(x_{l, n}-x\right)^{3}}{3} \\
& \leqslant c \frac{a_{n}}{n}\left(x_{l, n}-x\right)^{3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n}^{2}(t)}{\left(t-x_{l, n}\right)^{2}} w_{Q}^{2}(t) d t \\
& \leqslant c \frac{a_{n}}{n}\left(x_{l, n}-x\right)^{3} \lambda_{l n} p_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(x_{l, n}\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{c}{a_{n}}\left(x_{l, n}-x\right)^{3} \tag{3.53}
\end{align*}
$$

With (3.50), this gives

$$
\begin{align*}
|z(x)|^{2} & =\left|p_{n}(x) w_{Q}(x)\right|^{2} A_{n}^{-1}(x) \\
& \leqslant \frac{c}{a_{n}}\left|x_{l, n}-x\right| \leqslant \frac{c}{n} \tag{3.54}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $A_{n}(x) \leqslant c n / a_{n}$, this completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.
Corollary 2.3 is obvious and so is the second inequality in (2.12). Under conditions on $Q$ much less restrictive than ours, Freud proved in [6] (cf. (2.8a)) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{k n} \leqslant c \frac{a_{n}}{n} w_{Q}^{2}\left(x_{k, n}\right), \quad\left|x_{k, n}\right| \leqslant c a_{n} \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first inequality in (2.12) follows from this and the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k n}^{-1}=\rho_{n} p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k, n}\right) p_{n-1}\left(x_{k, n}\right)=\rho_{n} A_{n}\left(x_{k, n}\right) p_{n-1}^{2}\left(x_{k, n}\right) \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with Proposition 3.7. This completes the proof of Corollary 2.4.

Noie added in proof. The author has recently extended the estimate (210) for more general Freud-type weights, including $\exp \left(-|x|^{x}\right)$ when $x>1$. These results will be published ir due course.
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